arXiv:1403.5530v1 [cond-mat.other] 19 Mar 2014

Universality of spin-relaxation for spin 1/2 particles diffusing over magnetic field inhomogeneities in the adiabatic regime

M. Guigue* and G. Pignol†
LPSC, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS/IN2P3, Grenoble, France

R. Golub‡
Physics Department, North Carolina University, Raleigh, NC 27965

A. K. Petukhov§
Institut Laue Langevin, 53, Rue Holowitz, 38000 Grenoble
(Dated: March 24, 2014)

We present a theoretical analysis of spin relaxation, for a polarized gas of spin 1/2 particles undergoing restricted adiabatic diffusive motion within a container of arbitrary shape, due to magnetic field inhomogeneities of arbitrary shape.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hyperpolarized gas such as ^{3}He is used in a wide variety of scientific and medical situations. In physics, ^{3}He is commonly used as a spin-filter for neutrons [1], as a precision magnetometer [2] and as a probe for new fundamental spin-dependent interactions [3–7]. In medicine and engineering, it is used for the magnetic resonance imaging [8, 9]. In certain conditions, the polarization lifetime of a ^{3}He cell can be as long as a few weeks, which is a desirable feature in most of those applications. To reach and improve on low depolarization rates, all possible depolarization phenomena should be carefully controlled. One important depolarization channel is the relaxation induced by the motion of the polarized particles in an inhomogeneous magnetic field. This depolarization has been of ongoing interest since the pioneering work in the field [10–13]. The polarization evolution is characterized by three quantities: the longitudinal and transversal depolarization rate Γ_1 and Γ_2 and the associated frequency shift $\delta\omega$.

In many experimental setups, the Helium 3 polarized gas is contained in cells with typical sizes of 10 cm at pressure of the order of 1 bar. In those cases, the gas is in the diffusive regime, where interparticle collisions are more frequent than wall collisions. Among all theoretical approaches, the depolarization rate induced by magnetic field inhomogeneities can be treated using the standard pertubative Redfield theory [3, 14, 15].

The early theoretical treatments of the problem were limited to simple cell geometries (1D, cylindrical or spherical in [14]) and the magnetic field inhomogeneity was assumed uniform over the cell volume. However, every-days practice often have to deal with magnetic field profiles of second or even higher powers.The situation is even more complex if one use some cells with very special shapes (such as wide-angle "banana" cells [16]; see Fig. 1 for examples of cells used nowadays for polarized helium 3).

Figure 1. Examples of cells used as polarized helium 3 containers.

Very recently, a more general solution valid for an arbitrary magnetic field has been proposed in terms of a Fourier series expansion [3] for a 1D diffusive motion. A 3D generalization for the case of an arbitrary magnetic field and a rectangular cell with the polarized gas may be found in [17, 18].

In this paper we present simple analytical expressions for Γ_1 and $\delta\omega$ valid for spin 1/2 particles undergoing adiabatic diffusive motion within a cell of arbitrary form and influenced by magnetic field of arbitrary shape, using the Redfield theory involving correlation functions. Also,an exact solution for power law ($b[z] \approx gz^k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) inhomogeneity is presented and compared with our approximate result.

* guigue@lpsc.in2p3.fr
† pignol@lpsc.in2p3.fr
‡ rgolub@ncsu.edu
§ petukhov@ill.fr

II. GENERAL CONCEPTS OF POLARIZED GAS RELAXATION

The Redfield theory can be applied to the case of an assembly of spin one-half particles with a gyromagnetic ratio γ evolving in a slightly inhomogeneous magnetic field. The total magnetic field in which the system is immersed is defined as $\vec{B} = B_0\vec{e}_z + \vec{b}$ where $\vec{b} = b_x\vec{e}_x + b_y\vec{e}_y + b_z\vec{e}_z$. The magnetic field inhomogeneity corresponds to $\vec{b}$. We defined $\omega_0 = \gamma B_0$ and $\langle b_i \rangle = 0$. The longitudinal and transverse relaxation rates Γ_1 and Γ_2 and the frequency shift $\delta\omega$ are expressed using the correlation functions of the field inhomogeneities [14]. Since, for a polarized gas at normal conditions, the typical relaxation time constant is much longer than the diffusion time (tens and hundreds of hours against $\approx 1\,\mathrm{s}$) and $\left\|\vec{b}\right\| \ll B_0$, one can use the perturbative Redfield theory with Fourier transforms of correlation functions (see Appendix of [19] for a complete treatment):

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{T_1} = \gamma^2 \left(\mathcal{R}e\left[S_{xx}(\omega_0) + S_{yy}(\omega_0)\right] + \mathcal{I}m\left[S_{yx}(\omega_0) - S_{xy}(\omega_0)\right]\right) \tag{1}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_1 + \gamma^2 S_{zz}(0) \tag{2}$$

$$\delta\omega = \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\left(\mathcal{R}e\left[S_{xy}(\omega_0) - S_{yx}(\omega_0)\right] + \mathcal{I}m\left[S_{xx}(\omega_0) + S_{yy}(\omega_0)\right]\right) \tag{3}$$

where $S_{ij}(\omega)$ is the Fourier transform or spectrum of the magnetic field correlation function defined as:

$$S_{ij}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle b_i(0)b_j(\tau)\rangle(\tau)\exp(-i\omega\tau)d\tau \tag{4}$$

The ensemble average of the variable X is denoted with $\langle X \rangle$. The correlation function of b_i and b_j can be expressed as:

$$\langle b_i(0)b_j(\tau)\rangle = \frac{1}{V}\int_V d^3\vec{r}_0 \int_V d^3\vec{r} b_i(\vec{r}_0)b_j(\vec{r})p(\vec{r},\tau \mid \vec{r}_0) \tag{5}$$

where V is the volume of the cell and $p(\vec{r},\tau \mid \vec{r}_0)$ is the conditional probability (or propagator) of the particle being in $\vec{r}_0$ at $t = 0$ to be in $\vec{r}$ at the time t.

Moreover, $p(\vec{r},\tau \mid \vec{r}_0)$ respects the initial condition:

$$p(\vec{r}, t = 0 \mid \vec{r}_0) = \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \tag{6}$$

As a consequence, we have:

$$\langle b_i(0)b_j(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{V}\int_V d^3\vec{r} b_i(\vec{r})b_j(\vec{r}) = \overline{b_i b_j} \tag{7}$$

$\overline{X}$ corresponds to the volume average of the quantity X.

When the gas is in the diffusion regime, the mean free path between interparticles collisions is shorter than the mean free path between walls collisions; then the propagator is governed by the diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial p(\vec{r},\tau \mid \vec{r}_0)}{\partial\tau} = D \triangle p(\vec{r},\tau \mid \vec{r}_0) \tag{8}$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient which is inversely proportional to the pressure of the gas. This equation gives correct solutions if one is searching for the time behavior of the propagator, longer than τ_c, τ_c being the mean time between particle collisions.

We treat the relaxation induced by magnetic field inhomogeneities assuming that the cell walls does not cause any spin relaxation or particles loss, since the longitudinal relaxation rate is much longer than the typical time needed by a particle to diffuse across the cell. This hypothesis leads to the boundary condition on the container surface [20]:

$$\vec{\nabla} p(\vec{r},\tau|\vec{r}_0).\vec{n} = 0 \tag{9}$$

where $\vec{n}$ is the vector normal to the surface.

The shape of the magnetic field inhomogeneity b must be taken into account in oder to calculate the correlation functions. For the simplest case of an uniform gradient and specific cell geometry (rectangular, spherical, cylindrical [14, 17, 20]), it is commonly known that at high magnetic field and pressure, the longitudinal relaxation rate can be expressed as:

$$\Gamma_1 = D\frac{|\vec{\nabla} b_x|^2 + |\vec{\nabla} b_y|^2}{B_0^2} \tag{10}$$

For higher orders of power law inhomogeneities and when the gas is confined in a rectangular cell, the solution has been obtained in the form of a Fourier series [3, 14] (For details, see Appendix C). Similar behavior is observed for all power law inhomogeneity at high magnetic field and pressure (see Fig. 2). But for more complicated cell geometries, the solution of the problem is quickly limited by one's capability of finding an appropriate basis, in which the propagator can be expanded.



Figure 2. Behavior of normalized relaxation rates $\tilde{\Gamma}_1^k$ defined with Eq. (C13) for different power law magnetic field inhomogeneities depending on $\phi_L = \gamma B_0 \frac{L^2}{\pi^2 D}$.

III. LONGITUDINAL RELAXATION RATE IN THE DIFFUSIVE ADIABATIC REGIME

From Eq. (1), we see that the longitudinal relaxation rate Γ_1 is defined by the spectrum of the magnetic field correlation functions. Below, we show that for high frequencies the spectrum, and hence relaxation rate, can be obtained for all kind of magnetic inhomogeneities or containers shapes.

By integrating Eq. (1) twice by parts and using the fact that $\langle b_i(0)b_i(\tau)\rangle \to 0$ (with $i = x, y, z$) for $\tau \to +\infty$, we can write:

$$\Gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2}\left(-\gamma^2 \frac{d}{d\tau}(\langle b_x(0)b_x(\tau) + b_y(0)b_y(\tau)\rangle \mid_{\tau=0} -\gamma^2 \int_0^\infty d\tau \cos\omega_0\tau \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2}\langle b_x(0)b_x(\tau) + b_y(0)b_y(\tau)\rangle\right) \tag{11}$$

The integral containing the term $\langle b_y(0)b_x(\tau) - b_x(0)b_y(\tau)\rangle$ is equal to zero since, in the diffusive regime, the motion in the three coordinate directions is independent [14].

We analytically treat the first term in Eq. (11) (for details, see Appendix A) using the definition of ensemble average, replacing the time derivative by the right side of the diffusion equation (8), using the Green-Ostrogradski theorem and applying the initial and boundary conditions. The result is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle b_i(0)b_i(\tau)\rangle|_{\tau=0} = -D\overline{(\vec{\nabla} b_i)^2} \tag{12}$$

To estimate the second term of Eq. (11), we applied the Lebesgue-Riemann lemma (see Appendix B) which says that for high frequency (adiabatic regime), it goes to zero faster than the first term. Finally we can write:

$$\Gamma_1 \approx D\frac{\overline{(\vec{\nabla} b_x)^2} + \overline{(\vec{\nabla} b_y)^2}}{B_0^2} \tag{13}$$

which is our main result. Notice that we obtain a volume average and not a ensemble average.

For the frequency shift, one can do a similar procedure to obtain a volume average formula. This time, integrating only once by parts Eq. (3) and using the Lebesgue Rieman lemma, the frequency shift $\delta\omega$ can be written for high frequency ω_0 as:

$$\delta\omega \approx \frac{\gamma^2}{2\omega_0}\left(\overline{b_x^2} + \overline{b_y^2}\right) \tag{14}$$

In the derivation of Eq. (14), we did not use any propagator equation, meaning that it is valid not only for the diffusive regime but also for ballistic movements of particles. The only hypothesis is that the correlation function $\langle b_i(0)b_i(\tau)\rangle$ goes to zero when τ tends to infinity.

The remarkable feature of our results (13,14) is that they were obtained without any specific assumptions on the cell geometry and on the shape of magnetic field. This universality of adiabatic diffusive motions explains why previously obtained results for the longitudinal relaxation Γ_1 due to constant gradient magnetic fields are exactly the same for 1D models [3], for a 3D rectangular cell [17], and for spheres [14, 20].

IV. VALIDITY DOMAIN OF THE RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Let us discuss the validity domain of the frequency ω_0 for the main result (13). First, the evolution equation of the propagator is only valid at times much longer compared with the collision time between two particles τ_c. This means that for the corresponding spectrum, the frequency ω_0 shall respect $\omega_0 \ll 1/\tau_c$. A more general theory of the correlation function spectrum for arbitrary field and any time sclaes (from ballistic to diffusive regime of motion) may be found in [18].

It is important to notice that the Lebesgue-Riemann lemma does not tell neither how fast second term in Eq. (11) goes to zero with ω_0 neither what is critical frequency above which we can apply our result (13). To find an answer on the last point, let's first have a look on the results shown on Fig. 2 (see also Appendix C). For a slowly decaying perturbation (low power of power law perturbing field), the exact result (C11) tends to the

asymptote behavior (13) when the phase accumulated during the time required by the gas particles to diffuse across the cell $\phi_L = \omega_0 \tau_D$, ($\tau_D = \frac{L^2}{\pi^2 D}$), is significant: $\phi_L \gg 1$. The latter means that the spin diffusive motion is adiabatic everywhere within the cell. A very similar behavior has been observed for a short-range exponential spin-dependent forces $b(r) \approx b_0 \exp(-r/\lambda)$ [3, 18] ($\tau_\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2}{\pi^2 D}$) and for the magnetic field of a small hard-core dipole of radius ρ placed inside the spherical cell of radius $R \gg \rho$ [21] ($\tau_\lambda = \frac{\rho^2}{\pi^2 D}$). Those observations allows to formulate a general condition for our result to be valid:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_\lambda} \ll \omega_0 \ll \frac{1}{\tau_c} \tag{15}$$

where τ_λ is the time required by the gas particle to diffuse across the region λ, where the magnetic field inhomogeneity is significant.

V. CONCLUSION

We considered the gaseous spin relaxation of particles due to their diffusive motion in a inhomogeneous magnetic field of arbitrary shape. Applying the Redfield theory and the diffusion equation, we derived the asymptotic behavior (13) of the longitudinal relaxation rate for the high frequency limit (15), which supposes an adiabatic spin motion everywhere within the cell. This asymptotic behavior is expected to be valid for any arbitrary geometry and shape of the holding magnetic field B_0 and it is found to be in excellent agreement with all previously know results [3, 14, 18, 20, 21]. We also derived a general high frequency asymptote for the frequency shift (14), regardless of the spins motion (diffusive or ballistic) in the magnetic inhomogeneity. Due to the generality of our results, we expect that they will find a very broad spectrum of applications, especially in the nuclear magnetic resonance field.

Appendix A: Treatment of the leading term in Eq. (11)

We have to calculate the first term in Eq. (11):

$$A = \frac{d}{d\tau} \langle b_i(0) b_i(\tau) \rangle |_{\tau=0} \tag{A1}$$

Using the correlation function definition given by Eq. (5) and the diffusion equation, one can write:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{V} \iiint_V \iiint_V d^3\vec{r} d^3\vec{r}_0 b_i(\vec{r}) b_i(\vec{r}_0) \frac{d}{d\tau} p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0) \\ &= \frac{D}{V} \iiint_V d^3\vec{r}_0 b_i(\vec{r}_0) \iiint_V d^3\vec{r} b_i(\vec{r}) \Delta p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0) \end{aligned}$$

The Green-Ostrogradski theorem gives the following relations:

$$\iiint_V \left((\vec{F}.\vec{\nabla} g + g(\vec{\nabla}.\vec{F}) \right) d^3\vec{r} = \oiint_S g\vec{F}.d\vec{S} \tag{A2}$$

$$\iiint_V \left(f\vec{\nabla}^2 g + \vec{\nabla} f.\vec{\nabla} g \right) d^3\vec{r} = \oiint_S f\vec{\nabla} g.d\vec{S} \tag{A3}$$

According to Eq. (A3), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \iiint_V d^3\vec{r} b_i(\vec{r}) \vec{\nabla}. \left(\vec{\nabla} p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0) \right) \\ &= \oiint_S b_i(\vec{r}) \vec{\nabla} p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0).d\vec{S} - \iiint_V d^3\vec{r} \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).\vec{\nabla} p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0) \end{aligned}$$

Using boundary conditions given by Eq. (9) and then using Eq. (A2), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= - \iiint_V d^3\vec{r} \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).\vec{\nabla} p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0) \\ &= - \oiint_S p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0) \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).d\vec{S} \\ &+ \iiint_V d^3\vec{r} p(\vec{r}, \tau | \vec{r}_0) \Delta b_i(\vec{r}) \end{aligned}$$

For $\tau = 0$, the initial condition (6) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= - \oiint_S \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).d\vec{S} \\ &+ \iiint_V d^3\vec{r} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \Delta b_i(\vec{r}) \\ &= - \oiint_S \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).d\vec{S} + \Delta b(\vec{r}_0) \end{aligned}$$

leading to:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{D}{V} \iiint_V d^3\vec{r}_0 b_i(\vec{r}_0) \left(- \oiint_S \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_0) \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).d\vec{S} \right) \\ &+ \frac{D}{V} \iiint_V d^3\vec{r}_0 b_i(\vec{r}_0) \Delta b_i(\vec{r}_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{D}{V} \oiint_S b_i(\vec{r}) \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).d\vec{S} + \frac{D}{V} \iiint_V d^3\vec{r}_0 b_i(\vec{r}_0) \Delta b_i(\vec{r}_0) \tag{A4}$$

Another use of Eq. (A3) on the second term in right member of Eq. (A4) leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\frac{D}{V} \oiint_S b_i(\vec{r}).\vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).d\vec{S} + \frac{D}{V} \oiint_S b_i(\vec{r}) \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}).d\vec{S} \\ &- \frac{D}{V} \iiint_V d^3\vec{r}_0 \vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}_0).\vec{\nabla} b_i(\vec{r}_0) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can write:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\langle b_i(0)b_i(\tau)\rangle|_{\tau=0} = -D\overline{(\vec{\nabla}b_i)^2} \tag{A5}$$

Appendix B: Treatment of the second term in Eq. (11)

Let's treat the second term in Eq. (11). This term corresponds to a Fourier transform of the second order time derivate of the magnetic field inhomogeneities divided by ω_0^2. To estimate the high-frequency behavior of this term, we apply the Lebesgue-Riemann lemma which states that the cosine transform of an integrable function goes to zero as ω_0 goes to ∞. Therefore, we have to estimate the following integral:

$$I = \int_0^\infty dt|\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\langle b_i(0)b_i(t)\rangle|$$

We note that the correlation function build as a solution of the diffusion equation is a decaying positive function. Now, performing formally the integration, we can write:

$$I = \frac{d}{dt}\langle b_i(0)b_i(t)\rangle|_{t=0,\infty}$$

$\langle b_i(0)b_i(t)\rangle$ is a correlation function which describes a diffusive motion and, hence, it goes to zero together with its time derivative as $t \to \infty$. Finally, we can write:

$$I = -\frac{d}{dt}\langle b_i(0)b_i(t)\rangle|_{t=0} \tag{B1}$$

We see that Eq. (B1) is exactly the same expression which has been calculated in Eq. (A5). Therefore, our function $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\langle b_i(0)b_i(t)\rangle$ is integrable and according to Lebesgue-Riemann lemma, its cosine transform goes to zero when ω_0 goes to ∞. Hence, the second term in Eq. (11) decays faster than the first one as ω_0 goes to ∞.

Appendix C: Exact solution of spin-relaxation problem due to 1D diffusive motion in inhomogeneous field of power law shape

In this section, we will consider spin relaxation of spin 1/2 particles due to a restricted $z \in [-L/2, L/2]$ one-dimensional (1D) diffusive motion in a magnetic field $\vec{B}(z) = B_0\vec{e}_z + \vec{b}(z)$ where B_0 is an homogeneous part and $\vec{b}(z) = b_x(z)\vec{e}_x + b_y(z)\vec{e}_y + b_z(z)\vec{e}_z$ with $\left\|\vec{b}(z)\right\| \ll B_0$. Since for diffusive regime, the motion in all directions is independent we can consider only one component $b(z)$. Then to obtain the final result, one need to make a proper combination of real components. To be more specific, we will consider the perturbation field $b(z)$ which has the form of a power law:

$$b(z) = b_0\left(z^k - \frac{1}{L}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} z^k dz\right) \tag{C1}$$

with $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Notice that with this definition, the average of the volume perturbation is null. This type of perturbation is of great practical importance since many ideal magnetic systems designed to create homogeneous fields have the dominant component of inhomogeneity of the shape with $k = 2$. Moreover, for a popular Helmholtz pair of DC coils or End-compensated solenoid one can expect $k = 4$. Imperfections in the wiring will give terms with $k = 1, 3....$ The solution of the problem for $k = 1$ is well known and will be given here for completeness.

A general expression for longitudinal relaxation Γ_1 due to 1D diffusive motion reads [3, 17, 18]:

$$\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma^2\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\tau_{2n+1}}{1+(\omega_0\tau_{2n+1})^2}|b_{2n+1}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\tau_{2n}}{1+(\omega_0\tau_{2n})^2}|b_{2n}|^2\right) \tag{C2}$$

with $\tau_n = \frac{\tau_0}{n^2}$ is a time constant for n^{th} order diffusive mode, $\tau_0 = \frac{L^2}{\pi^2 D}$ the time constant for the lowest mode and D the diffusion coefficient. The b_{2n+1} and b_{2n} represents the odd and even Fourier components of the field $b(z)$.

$$b_{2n} = \frac{1}{L}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} b(z)\cos\frac{2n\pi z}{L}dz \tag{C3}$$

$$b_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{L}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} b(z)\sin\frac{(2n+1)\pi z}{L}dz \tag{C4}$$

Depending on the symmetry (odd, even) of $b(z)$, only one of the sum in Eq. (C2) contributes to the relaxation.

With this remarks, the calculation of the relaxation rate is straight-forward:

$$\Gamma_1^k = 2\gamma^2\tau_0\langle g_k^2\rangle L^2 S_k \tag{C5}$$

where $\langle g_k^2\rangle = \frac{1}{L}\int_{-L/2}^{L/2}\left(\frac{db(z)}{dz}\right)^2 dz$ is the averaged value of the square of the field gradient. S_k is given by:

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2\phi_L^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}\frac{\sinh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} + \sin\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}{\cosh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} + \cos\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}\right) \tag{C6}$$

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2\phi_L^2}\left(1 - \frac{3}{\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}\frac{\sinh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} - \sin\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}{\cosh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} - \cos\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}\right) \tag{C7}$$

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2\phi_L^2}\left(1 - \frac{320}{\pi^4\phi_L^2} - \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{\pi^5\phi_L^{5/2}}\frac{(\pi^4\phi_L^2 - 16\pi^2\phi_L - 64)\sinh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} + (\pi^4\phi_L^2 + 16\pi^2\phi_L - 64)\sin\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}{\cosh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} + \cos\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}\right) \tag{C8}$$

$$S_4 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2\phi_L^2}\left(1 - \frac{2688}{\pi^4\phi_L^2} - \frac{7\sqrt{2}}{\pi^5\phi_L^{5/2}}\frac{(\pi^4\phi_L^2 - 48\pi^2\phi_L - 576)\sinh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} - (\pi^4\phi_L^2 + 48\pi^2\phi_L - 576)\sin\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}{\cosh\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2} + \cos\pi\sqrt{\phi_L/2}}\right) \tag{C9}$$

where $\phi_L = \gamma B_0 \frac{L^2}{\pi^2 D}$ is the Larmor phase accumulated by the particle spin during the interval of time required to diffuse over the distance L. In the adiabatic regime, when $\phi_L \gg 1$, all hyperbolic functions dominate over the trigonometric ones which allows us to write:

$$S_k \approx \frac{1}{2\pi^2\phi_L^2}\left(1 + O\left(1/\sqrt{\phi_L}\right)\right) \tag{C10}$$

and for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, the longitudinal relaxation rate may be written as:

$$\Gamma_1^k = D\frac{\langle g_k^2\rangle}{B_0^2}\left(1 + O\left(1/\sqrt{\phi_L}\right)\right) \tag{C11}$$

Since transversal relaxation (2) is given by:

$$\Gamma_2(\phi_L) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_1(\phi_L) + \Gamma_1(\phi_L \to 0) \tag{C12}$$

then for adiabatic regime $\phi_L \gg 1$, $\Gamma_1(\phi_L) \ll \Gamma_1(\phi_L \to 0)$ and $\Gamma_2(\phi_L) \to \Gamma_1(\phi_L \to 0)$ by replacing the gradients of transversal components by the gradient of the longitudinal component. One can see that transversal relaxation does not depend on the magnitude of the magnetic field B_0; however it strongly depends on the shape of inhomogeneities since the expression of the S_i are strongly depending on the magnetic field inhomogeneity shape! In general, the relative spectrum

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_1^k = \frac{\Gamma_1^k}{\gamma^2\tau_0\langle g_k^2\rangle L^2} \tag{C13}$$

of the longitudinal relaxation rate for different kind of inhomogeneities ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are shown on Fig. 1.

[1] K. H. Andersen, R. Cubitt, H. Humblot, D. Jullien, A. Petoukhov, F. Tasset, C. Schanzer, V. R. Shah, and A. R. Wildes, Physica B: Condensed Matter **385-386**, 1134 (2006).

[2] C. Gemmel, W. Heil, S. Karpuk, K. Lenz, C. Ludwig, Y. Sobolev, K. Tullney, M. Burghoff, W. Kilian, S. Knappe-Grüneberg, W. Müller, A. Schnabel, F. Seifert, L. Trahms, and S. Baeß ler, The European Physical Journal D **57**, 303 (2010).

[3] A. K. Petukhov, G. Pignol, D. Jullien, and K. H. Andersen, Physical Review Letters **105**, 170401 (2010), arXiv:1009.3434.

[4] C. B. Fu, T. R. Gentile, and W. M. Snow, Physical Review D **83**, 031504 (2011), arXiv:1007.5008.

[5] A. K. Petukhov, G. Pignol, and R. Golub, Physical Review D **84**, 058501 (2011).

[6] M. Bulatowicz, R. Griffith, M. Larsen, J. Mirijanian, C. B. Fu, E. Smith, W. M. Snow, H. Yan, and T. G. Walker, Physical Review Letters **111**, 102001 (2013), arXiv:1301.5224.

[7] K. Tullney, F. Allmendinger, M. Burghoff, W. Heil, S. Karpuk, W. Kilian, S. Knappe-Grüneberg, W. Müller, U. Schmidt, A. Schnabel, F. Seifert, Y. Sobolev, and L. Trahms, Physical Review Letters **111**, 100801 (2013), arXiv:1303.6612.

[8] P. Bachert, L. R. Schad, M. Bock, M. V. Knopp, M. Ebert, T. Grobmann, W. Heil, D. Hofmann, R. Surkau, and E. W. Otten, Magnetic Resonance in Medicine **36**, 192 (1996).

[9] C. P. Bidinosti, J. Choukeife, P.-J. Nacher, and G. Tastevin, Journal of Magnetic Resonance **162**, 122 (2003).

[10] N. Bloembergen, E. Purcell, and R. Pound, Physical Review (1948).

[11] M. Bouchiat, T. Carver, and C. Varnum, Physical Review Letters **5**, 373 (1960).

[12] R. L. Gamblin and T. R. Carver, Physical Review **138**, A946 (1965).

[13] L. D. Schearer and G. K. Walters, Physical Review **139**, A1398 (1965).

[14] D. D. McGregor, Physical Review A **41**, 2631 (1990).

[15] S. K. Lamoreaux and R. Golub, Physical Review A **71**, 032104 (2005), arXiv:0407005 [nucl-ex].

[16] J. Stewart, K. Andersen, E. Babcock, C. Frost, A. Hiess, D. Jullien, J. Stride, J.-F. Barthélémy, F. Marchal, A. Murani, H. Mutka, and H. Schober, Physica B: Con-

densed Matter **385-386**, 1142 (2006).
[17] S. M. Clayton, Journal of magnetic resonance (San Diego, Calif. : 1997) **211**, 89 (2011), arXiv:1012.1238.
[18] C. M. Swank, A. K. Petukhov, and R. Golub, Physics Letters A **376**, 2319 (2012).
[19] G. Pignol and S. Roccia, Physical Review A **85**, 042105 (2012), arXiv:1201.0699.
[20] G. D. Cates, S. R. Schaefer, and W. Happer, Physical Review A **37**, 2877 (1988).
[21] A. K. Petukhov, Gaseous spin-relaxation in the magnetic field of a small hard-core spherical dipole, in preparation.